




Logic I (Set Theory)






Questions


_____ ?



_____ ?




_____ ?



...and so on...

_____ ?





If,



as people,



our job should be:





**to first, see the roots of
suffering;**



second, do no harm;



third, share;



fourth, help;



**and fifth, optimize
health and wealth;**





**and if we acknowledge that
we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools** [goods and/or services]
**to see the roots of
suffering;**



**and if we acknowledge that
even if we have seen the roots of
suffering,
that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services]
to do no harm;**



**and if we acknowledge that
even if we have done no harm,
that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services]
to share;**



**and if we acknowledge that
even if we have shared,
that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services]
to help;**



**and if we acknowledge that
even if we have helped,
that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services]
to optimize
health and wealth;**





then to what extent

[and for how much time / how many people]

are we,



as ***valued*** members of
our **glocal communities,**
[rural to urban; on earth +/- on the internet]



**obligated to alleviate
suffering**





in the meantime





**while we search for
and/or build**




and then begin using



powerful enough tools?





Dear Jeff Bezos:



**If,
as people,
our job should be:**

**to first, see the roots of suffering;
second, do no harm;
third, share;
fourth, help;
and fifth, optimize health and wealth;**

**and if we acknowledge that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to see the roots of
suffering;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have seen the roots of suffering,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to do no harm;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have done no harm,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to share;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have shared,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to help;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have helped,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to optimize
health and wealth;**



then to what extent

[and for how much time / how many people]

are you,

as the most

globally powerful person

[with respect to money; as per the internet; as of 5/19/20],

**obligated to alleviate
suffering**




in the meantime




**while you search for
and/or build**

and then begin using

powerful enough tools?





Dear Bill Gates:



**If,
as people,
our job should be:**

**to first, see the roots of suffering;
second, do no harm;
third, share;
fourth, help;
and fifth, optimize health and wealth;**

**and if we acknowledge that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to see the roots of
suffering;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have seen the roots of suffering,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to do no harm;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have done no harm,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to share;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have shared,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to help;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have helped,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to optimize
health and wealth;**



then to what extent

[and for how much time / how many people] **are you,**

as the second most

globally powerful person

[with respect to money; as per the internet; as of 5/19/20],


obligated to alleviate suffering


in the meantime

while you search for and/or build

and then begin using

powerful enough tools?





Dear Bernard Arnault & family:



**If,
as people,
our job should be:**

**to first, see the roots of suffering;
second, do no harm;
third, share;
fourth, help;
and fifth, optimize health and wealth;**

**and if we acknowledge that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to see the roots of
suffering;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have seen the roots of suffering,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to do no harm;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have done no harm,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to share;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have shared,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to help;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have helped,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to optimize
health and wealth;**



then to what extent

[and for how much time / how many people] are you,

as the third most

globally powerful person(s)

[with respect to money; as per the internet; as of 5/19/20],


obligated to alleviate suffering

in the meantime

while you search for and/or build

and then begin using


powerful enough tools?





...and so on...





Dear Friend:



**If,
as people,
our job should be:**

**to first, see the roots of suffering;
second, do no harm;
third, share;
fourth, help;
and fifth, optimize health and wealth;**

**and if we acknowledge that we sometimes do not use
powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to see the roots of
suffering;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have seen the roots of suffering,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to do no harm;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have done no harm,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to share;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have shared,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to help;**

**and if we acknowledge that even if we have helped,
that we sometimes do not use powerful enough tools [goods and/or services] to optimize
health and wealth;**



then to what extent

[and for how much time / how many people] **are you,**

as the least

globally powerful person

[with respect to money; as per a ledger; as of 5/19/20],


obligated to alleviate suffering


in the meantime


while you search for and/or build

and then begin using

powerful enough tools?







If,



as people,



our job were today:





to first, go home [and eat!];



second, *evaluate*

our [and each other's]

glocal *trusts*

[communities +/- (corps. of) persons +/- families];



third, find [on maps]
people who
need help (the most)...



**...and fourth, connect with
people who
can give (the most) help;**



fifth, build our networks of

glocally *trusted*

[community +/- (corp. of) person(s) +/- family]

leaders;



and sixth, communicate [and eat!];





**then to what extent would
we**




live in peace today




**with less suffering
tomorrow?**






Questions


_____ ?



_____ ?




_____ ?




...and so on...

_____ ?





Logic I (Set Theory)



The End